IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN

MONDAY, THE 19TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 30TH MAGHA 1928

CRP.No. 395 of 1996(F)

AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 23/01/1996 IN EA.308/94 IN EP.164/93
IN OS.164/1992 of SUB COURT, VADAKARA

.....

REVN. PETITIONER:

OTTAPPILAKKOOL AMMAD ALIAS MOHAMMED,
AGRICULTURIST, RESIDING AT ODUKKANDAVIDA ALIAS
VALIYA PEEDIKAYIL, KUMMANGODE AMSOM, NADAPURAM DESOM,
BADAGARA TALUK, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT. (DIED)

SUPPL.2. O.P.ASSYA, W./O.LATE O.P.MOHAMMED, RESIDING AT
ODUKKANTAVIDE, P.O. KALLACHI, NADAPURAM, KOZHIKODE DIST.

3. O.P.HAMZA, S/O.LATE O.P.MOHAMMED, RESIDNG AT -DO- -DO-

4. O.P.SUBAIR, S/O.LATE O.P.MOHAMMED, RRESIDING AT PRESENT
AT P.B.NO.3503, DOHA, QUATAR, S/O.LATE O.P.MOHAMMED,
ODUKKANTAVIDE, P.O.KALLACHI, NADAPURAM, KOZHIKODE DIST.

(SUPPL.PETITIONERS 2 TO 4 ARE IMPLEADED AS PER ORDER
DTD.2/7/98 IN CMP.NO.2231/98)

BY ADV. SRI.K.P.DANDAPANI

RESPONDENTS:

1. MUTHARIKKUNIYIL ANDRU,
KUMMANGODE AMSOM, NADAPURAM DESOM,
BADAGARA TALUK, KOZHIKODE DIST.

2. O.P.BEEYYAKUTTY, W/O.KATTENDAPARAMBATH BASHEER,
RESIDING AT KATTENDAPARAMBATH, P.O.KUTTIADY,
KAYYAKKODY AMSOM, KARANGODU DESOM, BADAGARA TALUK,
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.T.G.RAJENDRAN

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 19/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

ORDER ON CMP.NO.680/96 IN CRP.NO.395/1996

DISMISSED

19/2/2007 Sd/- P.R.RAMAN, JUDGE.

P.R.RAMAN,J.

C.R.P.NO.395 OF 1996 &
C.M.P.NO.178 OF 2002

Dated this the 19th day of February, 2007

O R D E R

The above C.R.P. is filed by the judgment debtors against the order passed by the Sub Court, Vadakara in E.A.No.308/94 in E.P.No.164/93 in O.S.No.164/92. The original revision petitioner and the supplemental 2nd revision petitioner died and supplemental revision petitioners 3 and 4 were got impleaded. The parties to the proceedings have filed a joint petition, C.M.P.No.178/2003 stating that the matter has been settled out of court and the money due to the decree holder has been paid and no further amounts are due and pray that the compromise be recorded and the matter may be dismissed as settled out of court. The joint petition was

signed by the respective counsel.

2. Heard both sides.

3. In the light of joint petition filed by the parties, the settlement is recorded and the C.R.P. is dismissed.

P.R.RAMAN,
Judge.

kcv.

P.R.RAMAN, J.

C.R.P.NO.395 OF 1996 &
C.M.P.NO.178 OF 2002

O R D E R

19th February, 2007